

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,267	11/14/2003	Jeffrey D. Martin	032161R066	8051	
441 7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER		
	MBRELL & RUSSEL	MAYO, TARA L			
	ET, N.W., SUITE 800 N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3671		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/712,267	MARTIN, JEFFREY D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tara L. Mayo	3671	

Defere the Filing of an Annual Drief	·					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tara L. Mayo	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
, =	-	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee has						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejecti	The appropriate extension in all Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) to filed within the time period set for), to avoid dismissal orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. (a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);		g the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	41.6	N 1 - 42 4 - A C 411				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: Response to Arguments Attached.	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
J. J.						

23 June 2005

(BLANK)

Application/Control Number: 10/712,267

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 15 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's statements regarding Rejection A, the Examiner has interpreted the outer pillow member of the device shown by Bonaddio et al. '158 to be a monolithic pillow.

In response to Applicant's statements regarding Rejection B, Veilleux et al. '725 expressly teach an entire pillow member (11) made of visco-elastic foam.

The Examiner does not understand Applicant's arguments with regard to Rejection C.

In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Rejection D, the structure is taught by Bonaddio et al. '158 and it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm 23 June 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER